Journal homepage: http://www.ifrj.upm.edu.my



From seed to feed: assessment and alleviation of Raffinose Family Oligosaccharides (RFOs) of seed- and sprout-flours of soybean [*Glycine max* (L.) Merr.] - a commercial aspect

*Raman, M., Saiprasad, G. V. S. and Madhavakrishna, K.

ITC Limited, ITC Life Science and Technology Centre (LSTC), Peenya Industrial Area, 1st Phase, Bengaluru-560058, Karnataka, India

Article history

<u>Abstract</u>

Received: 8 September, 2017 Received in revised form: 26 February, 2018 Accepted: 20 June, 2018

Keywords

Soybean, sugars RFOs Anti-nutritional factors HPLC The purpose of the present work was to propose a commercially viable method for the reduction of flatulence-inducing Raffinose Family Oligosaccharides (RFOs) in soybean mature seed- and sprout-flours. For the same, the industrial application of purified food-grade α -galactosidase (α -GAL) from Aspergillus niger was evaluated by calorimetric and highperformance liquid chromatography (HPLC) methods. From mature seed to sprout formation with $\sim 80\%$ germination at a pilot-scale, an inherent decline of 76-80% in total RFOs [with a respective decline of 84%, 79% and 64% in corresponding raffinose (RAF), stachyose (STA) and verbascose (VER) content] was observed. Following treatment with exogenous food-grade α -GAL at an optimised level, a significant reduction of 98-99% and 93-96% in total RFOs (with a respective decline of 95%, 99%, 100% and 84%, 99%, 80% in corresponding RAF, STA and VER content) was observed in mature seed- and sprout-flours, respectively. Herein we reported for the first time, a simple and sequential combination of two processing methods (sprouting followed by α -GAL hydrolysis) that could open up the commercial use of soybean flour to feed- and food-industries to take advantage of its functional and nutritional properties, without any anti-nutritional problems usually associated with it. The results from the present work could also be extended to other agronomical important legumes, thereby offering promising revenue for the large-scale production of nutritionally enriched and RFOs-free flours- and -products thereof.

© All Rights Reserved

Introduction

Soybean [Glycine max (L.) Merr.], widely regarded as "miracle/wonder/gold bean", represents a leguminous seed crop of tremendous economic importance. Being a rich source of high quality protein with all eight essential amino acids, oil, saccharides, vitamins, fibre, essential fatty acids, phytochemicals and lecithins (Singh et al., 2008), soybean nutritional quality has been well recognised and appreciated globally. In the last decade, the world soybeans production has increased significantly from 200 million MT in 2005 to 324 million MT in 2016 (USDA, 2016). Soybean consumption is determined mainly by its oil (20%), protein (40%) and soluble carbohydrate content (15%) (Singh et al., 2008). Soy-based food products provide a range of health benefits to consumers and is highly recommended by nutritionists/medical doctors mainly due to their hypo-lipidemic, anti-cholestrolemic and antiatherogenic properties as well as their ability to reduce allergenicity and reduced risk of osteoporosis, prostate/breast cancer, cardiovascular and most hormone-associated health disorders (Asif and Acharya, 2013; Ahmad et al., 2014; Sharma and Baluja, 2015). However, despite being rich in all the essential nutrients and health benefits, its limited human consumption is influenced in parts by the indigestible flatulence causing raffinose family oligosaccharides (RFOs), primarily raffinose (RAF), stachyose (STA) and verbascose (VER) (Calloway and Murphy, 1968; Cristofaro, Mottu and Wuhrmann, 1974; Rackis, 1981). RFOs, being major sugar components in different varieties of legume seeds, have also been the object of many studies, and gained considerable attention by biochemists and nutritionists alike (Cerning-Beroard and Filiatre, 1976; Silva et al., 1990; Muzquiz et al., 1999; Muehlbauer, 2002; Martínez-Villaluenga et al., 2005; Giannoccaro et al., 2006; Kotiguda et al., 2007; Xiaoli et al., 2008; Aguilera et al., 2009; Kumar et al., 2010).

RFOs are considered anti-nutritional units mainly due to the lack of α-galactosidase or melibiase (α-Dgalactoside galactohydrolase, EC 3.2.1.22) in the gut of mono-gastric animals (Kotiguda et al., 2007). α -galactosidase (α -GAL) hydrolyses the terminal non-reducing α-D-galactose residues from the α-Dgalactosides including galactose oligosaccharides (melibiose and RFOs) and branched polysaccharides [galactomannans and galacto-(gluco-) mannans] in an exo-fashion, thereby liberating the simple sugars (Naumoff, 2004). The predominant and relative large molecules of RFOs i.e. RAF and STA belonging to a class of fibres called FODMAPs (Fermentable Oligo-, Di-, Mono-saccharides and Polyols) remain undigested, enter the large intestine wherein they are fermented by native microbial flora thereby producing gases (CO₂, H₂ and to a lesser extent CH_4), resulting in the characteristic features of flatulence namely bloating, pain, nausea, cramps, diarrhoea, abdominal rumbling, social discomfort associated with the ejection of rectal gas and further worsen the symptoms of irritable bowel syndrome (IBS), a common digestive disorder (Cristofaro et al., 1974; Messina, 1999; Tsangalis and Shah, 2004). As a prophylaxis measure, commercially available dietary supplements of α-GAL such as Beano (AkPharma Inc, Pleasantville, NJ), has been recommended to improve the digestion and reduce the flatulence caused by the consumption of legumes.

The removal of soybean RFOs reduces the flatulence considerably (Suarez et al., 1999) and also increases the metabolisable energy of the diet (Coon et al., 1990; Sebastian et al., 2000). Conventional domestic processing methods such as soaking, boiling, cooking, roasting, toasting, parching, frying, steaming, gamma-radiation, ultrasonic, high hydrostatic pressure, fermentation and sprouting have been adopted, depending upon tradition and taste preferences, to reduce the RFOs levels in legumes. Soaking is the easiest, but also most ineffective way of reducing the RFOs (33.3% and 46.6% reduction in RAF and STA, respectively) in soybean (Han and Baik, 2006). Ultrasound (47 MHz) and high hydrostatic pressure (621 MPa) applications were reported to be relatively more effective (with 55.7%, 33.9% and 28.6%, 7.4% reduction in corresponding RAF and STA, respectively) by promoting the enhanced leaching of RFOs (Han and Baik, 2006). Cooking and autoclaving of pre-soaked soybean resulted in losses of 13%, 8%, 78% and 12%, 11%, 81% in RAF, STA and VER contents, respectively (Ramadan, 2012). By combination of soaking, dehulling, washing and cooking, >50% of total RFOs can be removed (Egounlety and Aworh, 2003).

Fermentation with Rhizopus oligosporus resulted in >50% and >80% reduction in soybean RAF and STA content, respectively (Egounlety and Aworh, 2003). In raw, cooked and roasted soybean, fermentation with Lactobacillus plantarum resulted in a respective losses of RAF by 28%, 58%, 68% and STA by 30%, 72%, 76% (Adeyemo and Onilude, 2014). Low RFOs meal from genetically modified soybeans also represents another way of reduction of RFOs in the diet (Parsons, Zhang and Araba, 2000). Among all these methods, soybean germination represent a cost-effective way of reducing RAF and STA content by 75% and 87%, respectively (Silva et al., 1990). However, so far none of the aforementioned methods are commercially viable as well as full-proof enough to completely eliminate RFOs levels in soybean and other legumes. a-GAL from various other sources such as bacteria, fungi, plants and animals (Keller and Pharr, 1996; Matsuura et al., 1998; Marraccini et al., 2005; Cao et al., 2007; Cao et al., 2010) draws a lot of interest in the scientific community around the world by offering a promising solution in the elimination of RFOs from legume flours (Somiari and Balogh, 1993; 1995). Nevertheless aside from circumstantial evidence reported only under laboratory conditions, a practical utilisation of crude α -GAL in legumes is very scarce. Notably, Matella et al (2005) proposed a commercial removal of STA and VER from legume (Phaseolus vulgaris) flours. However, their method relies on the cumbersome extraction of soluble sugars from beans, followed by α-GAL treatment and addition of reduced RFOs sugars back to the bean slurry prior to drying and milling, without taking care of improvements in other nutritional parameters. With an intent of viable commercial perspective, herein we described the evaluation and enzymatic (food-grade α-GAL from A. niger) reduction of RFOs components i.e. RAF, STA and VER in both soybean mature seed- and sprout-flours at a pilot-scale. To the best of our knowledge, this novel information (sprouting followed by α -GAL hydrolysis) could also stimulate the application of these inexpensive and easy methods for industrial-scale production of nutritionally enriched and RFOs-free flours from other legumes.

Materials and methods

Chemicals

 α -GAL (10,000 GAL units/g) in industrial quantity was purchased from Alferm Biotec, Bengaluru, India. The activity of this product was maintained by the vendor on a periodic lot-to-lot basis by performing a standard α -GAL assay.

One GAL unit is defined as the amount of enzyme required to liberate p-nitrophenol from synthetic substrate p-nitrophenyl-a-D-galactopyranoside (PNPG) at the rate of 1.0 µmol/min at pH 6.5 at 37°C under the standard assay conditions. Raffinose/ Sucrose/D-Glucose assay kit (Catalog#K-RAFGL) was procured from Megazyme International Ltd., Ireland (Wicklow, Ireland). HPLC-grade sugar standards: D-(+)-glucose (Catalog#G8270), sucrose (Catalog#S7903), D-(+)-raffinose (Catalog#R0514), (Catalog#S4001) and stachyose verbascose (Catalog#56217) were procured from Sigma-Aldrich (Sigma Chemical Co., St. Louis, USA). Sugar-pak I chromatographic column, 10 µm, 6.5 × 300 mm (Part No. WAT085188) was purchased from Waters Corporation (Waters India Pvt. Ltd.). Ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid calcium disodium salt (Catalog#ED2SC) and other analytical reagents (AR) grade chemicals used in the present work were obtained from Sigma-Aldrich (St. Louis, MO, USA).

Seed material and sprouting conditions

Seeds of soybean (Glycine max (L.) Merr.) 'JS9560' (a popular commercial variety in Central India), procured from ICAR-Indian Institute of Soybean Research (IISR), Indore (Madhya Pradesh) were used in the present work. Soybean seeds were cleaned thoroughly to make them free from dust, dirt, stubbles and foreign matters. Damaged and immature/broken seeds with cracked hull were discarded mechanically. Cleaned and mechanicallysorted seeds were surface-sterilised with 0.5% (w/v) sodium hypochlorite (NaClO) solution for 10 min, and rinsed thoroughly with running distilled water to remove any traces of NaClO. Approximately 5 kg of cleaned and surface-sterilised seeds per batch were soaked in 25 L potable water for 4 h under constant shaking at 10 rpm in a customised motor seed dressing drum (GMW, Ambala, India), followed by drainage of water and rinsing with distilled water. The seeds were subsequently distributed evenly on filter paper in a single layer in sterile germination trays. Each germination tray was wrapped with a muslin clothes (to allow entry of oxygen for the germinating seed while minimising the contamination during the test-period), and placed in the customised seed germinator [ACM-78093-S, Acmas technologies Pvt. Ltd., India] at 30°C with 90% relative humidity (RH) for 72 h (Agrahar-Murugkar and Jha, 2009). Germination trays were watered daily according to its requirement with distilled water during the course of germination. Physiological germination in terms of visible radical protrusion of at least 2 mm (ISTA, 2012) was assessed each day over a test period of 3 d. The experiment was performed in three replicates.

Soybean flour preparation

Sprouts obtained after germination test period were subjected to drying in an hot air oven incubator (Inlab, Chennai, India; 230 volt, 5.4A) at 55°C to a final moisture content of 6-8%, a level recommended for the production of soyflour (Gandhi, 2008). Mature seeds and dried sprouts were milled to a fine powder using analytical grinder mill, passed through a 0.6 mm sieve to obtain flour of 500 μ m particle size. The obtained fine flours were stored as a powder in tightly closed containers at room temperature till further use.

α -GAL treatment

Exogenous application of α-GAL was performed concurrently in both mature seed- and sprout-flours. Approximately, 1,000 g of mature seed- and sproutflours were treated with different concentrations of α-GAL (0, 50, 100, 200 and 300 GAL units/mL) in a final volume of 3,000 mL distilled water, pH 6-7 (flour:water = 1:3), with a continuous shaking at 50 rpm in a rotary shaker at 50°C for different time points (0, 30, 60, 120 and 180 min). Untreated control was treated with distilled water only. Following incubation at an indicated time-point, contents of each tube were removed and filtered through a Whatman No. 1 filter paper. Samples were dried under vacuum at 40°C for 4 h, grounded to a fine powder to produce a-GAL-treated soybean flour, and quantified for **RFOs** estimation.

Calorimetric estimation of RFOs

The soluble carbohydrate concentrations of mature soybean seed- and sprout-flours were determined using an enzyme based Raffinose/Sucrose/D-Glucose assay kit (Megazyme) as per manufacturer's recommendation as described earlier (Kumar et al., 2010). It consisted of a-GAL (from A. niger), invertase (from yeast) and glucose determination reagent i.e. glucose oxidase peroxidase (GOPOD; glucose oxidase + peroxidase) for colorimetric estimation of sucrose and RFO content. The kit is based upon the principle to stepwise hydrolyse complex soluble carbohydrates to glucose followed by its colorimetric measurement. Soluble sugars such as sucrose and RFOs were hydrolysed with α-GAL and invertase into D-glucose, D-galactose and D-fructose. D-glucose concentration was determined using GOPOD reagent. The concentration of RAF, STA, VER and other higher homologues of the RFOs in flour samples were measured as a group, because α-GAL hydrolyses all members of the RFO family. Since 1 mol of each of the RFO contains 1 mol of D-glucose, the RFO concentrations were presented on a molar basis. Briefly, finely ground flour (0.5 \pm

0.01g) of each sample was treated with 95% ethanol (to digest the endogenous enzymes completely) at 85°C for 20 min, and the final volume was made up to 50 mL using sodium acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 4.5). Digested mixture so obtained was incubated at the room temperature for 20 min and vortexed to obtain uniform slurry. Subsequently, 2 mL chloroform was added to 5 mL slurry obtained, and vortexed for 15 s followed by centrifugation at 1,000 g for 10 min. A volume of 0.2 mL from the aqueous phase of the supernatant so obtained was taken in three tubes (namely, A, B, and C). A volume of 0.2 mL sodium acetate buffer (50 mM, pH 4.5), 0.2 mL of invertase (8.3 U/mL) and a mixture of invertase + α -GAL (invertase 8 U/mL and α -GAL 40 U/mL) was added into tubes A, B, and C, respectively. All three tubes were incubated at 50°C for 20 min. Reagent blank (0.4 mL sodium acetate buffer) and glucose control (0.1 mL standard glucose solution, which contained 0.556 µmol of glucose + 0.3 mL sodium acetate buffer) were also taken simultaneously. Subsequently, 3 mL of GOPOD reagent was added in all of the tubes and incubated again at 50°C for 20 min. The glucose concentration for tubes A, B, and C and glucose control was determined by measuring the change in absorbance of quinoneimine dye at 510 nm against the reagent blank using a UV/Vis Microplate and Cuvette spectrophotometer (Thermo ScientificTM MultiskanTM GO). Glucose, sucrose and RFOs concentrations were shown in mmol/100 g flour. The concentrations of glucose, sucrose and RFOs were calculated as follows:

Glucose (mmol/100 g) = $\Delta A \times F \times 50$ Sucrose (mmol/100 g) = (ΔB - ΔA) × F × 50 RFOs (mmol/100 g) = (ΔC - ΔB) × F × 50

where ΔA , ΔB and ΔC were the absorbance of sample plus sodium acetate buffer, sample plus invertase and sample plus invertase and α -GAL enzyme solution, respectively.

 $F = Factor to convert from absorbance to <math>\mu mol$ of glucose

 $0.556 (\mu mol of glucose) / GOPOD absorbance for 0.556 \mu mol of glucose$

250 = conversion to 50 mL of extract, 200 = conversion from 0.5 to 100 g of sample and 1/1000 = conversion from µmol to mmol.

All enzymatic assays were performed in three technical replicates (n = 3) for each sample.

High Performance Liquid Chromatography (HPLC) based estimation of RFOs

Sample preparation

A method for the quantitative extraction of soluble sugars from mature seed- and sprout-flours and their subsequent recovery from the 80% (v/v) ethanol solvent was adopted as outlined earlier (Tahir et al., 2011; Gangola et al., 2014; Raja et al., 2015), with certain modifications. Approximately, 150 mg fine grounded flour of each sample was extracted twice with 40 mL 80% ethanol-water in a hot water bath at 55-60°C with a magnetic stirrer for 45 min. The samples were centrifuged for 30 min at 10,000 rpm, and the supernatant was collected. The extraction step was repeated, and the recovered supernatants were pooled. The pooled extract was reduced in volume by using a rotary vacuum evaporator at 70°C to evaporate the ethanol. The concentrated sugar syrup was re-dissolved in 10 mL distilled water, and filtered through a 0.45µm Millipore membrane (Millipore, Bedford, MA) into a 1.5 mL HPLC vial with a rubber slit septum. The samples were then ready for injection into HPLC.

HPLC conditions and Instrumentation

A HPLC system equipped with an auto-sampler, a gradient programmer, a solvent pump and a refractive index detector (Agilent 1200) was used. The chromatographic column used was a Waters sugar-pak I column (Part No. WAT085188) with an internal dimensions of 6.5×300 mm, filled with micro-particulate size (10 µm) of cation-exchange gel in calcium form. The mobile phase consisted of 50 mg/mL solution of calcium disodium salt of ethylenediaminetetraacetic acid (CaNa₂EDTA). Operating conditions with a flow rate of 0.2 mL/ min at an ambient temperature were maintained. Aliquots (50 µL) of filtered samples were injected into the mobile phase of HPLC via an auto-sampler to record chromatograms. The detection was done by measuring the change in refractive index of the column effluent passing through the flow-cell. All chromatograms were re-ordered on Agilent chemstation software. Authentic commercially available sugar standards: glucose, sucrose, RAF, STA and VER were dissolved at 5 mg/mL in water, immediately prior to HPLC analysis and subjected to HPLC in a concentration range of 0-100 μ g/mL. A 50 µL aliquots of these standard solutions were injected into the chromatographic system, and the resulting peak areas were plotted against concentration for the linear calibration curve. Retention times of the standards were used to identify the corresponding peaks on the HPLC chromatograms of flour samples. Peak area was quantified by Chemstation software (Agilent). The relative concentration of individual sugar was calculated after superimposing the chromatogram of the sample on their corresponding standard curve. Individual sugar concentration was expressed as mmol per 100 g on a dry weight basis. Concentrations of RAF, STA and VER were summed to compute the total RFOs concentration.

Data analysis

The results were expressed as means \pm S.D. One-way Analysis of Variance (ANOVA) was used to analyse the level of statistical significance between groups. p < 0.05 was considered statistically significant.

Results and discussion

Recent changes in cost of commodity-based sources of metabolisable energy (ME) inputs has put a tremendous demand on soybean feed- and food-products to deliver both protein and ME in diet. Being a rich source of total RFOs (Hagely *et al.*, 2013), soybean also represents an ideal model system for the evaluation and reduction of RFOs in other legume flours. In the present work, an attempt was made to improve the soybean and its products consumption thereof, by lowering their RFOs levels within a permissible limit at commercial level.

Effect of sprouting on soybean RFOs levels at pilotscale

Soybean seeds of commercial variety, 'JS9560' were sprouted under controlled environmental conditions at pilot-scale, with a germination rate of ~80% (Fig. 1). High quality dried flours was made from both mature seeds and sprouts (Fig. 2A inset), as per the recommendation for the production of soyflour (Gandhi, 2008). The RFOs levels in soybean mature seed- and sprout-flours was evaluated and presented in Fig. 2. Our calorimetric and HPLC results demonstrated that soybean sprouting at a pilot-scale resulted in an inherent decline of 76-80% in total RFOs in sprout-flour (1.7-2.1 mmol/100 g dm) in contrast to their corresponding seed counterpart (8.41-8.68 mmol/100 g dm). Within RFOs, an individual and respective decline of 84% (from 1.98 to 0.32 mmol/100 g dm), 79% (from 6.3 to 1.34 mmol/100 g dm) and 64% (from 0.14 to 0.05 mmol /100 g dm) in corresponding RAF, STA and VER content was observed in sprout-flour (Fig. 2B). The data for a typical chromatographic separation of sugar standards and corresponding calibration

curve of each sugar standard is not shown. Notably, total RFOs estimation by calorimetric and HPLC methods were largely in accord with each other, with a perfect positive correlation (r = 1) between these two methods. Additionally, a coherent decline of 96-98% in sucrose content of sprout-flours in comparison to its seed counterpart (from 4.16-5.75 to 0.1-0.26 mmol/100 g dm) was also observed (Supplementary Fig. S2). The observed decrease in RFOs as well as sucrose content in soybean sprouts was mainly due to the autolysis caused by the activation of endogenous α-GAL and invertase (β-Dfructofuranosidase, EC3.2.1.26), respectively during germination process (Kasai, 1976; Kuo, Doehlert and Crawford, 1990). Following germination, these endogenous hyper-active α -GAL and invertase resulted in a potent hydrolysis of their respective substrates, α-D-galacto-oligosaccharides and β-Dfructofuranoside into di- and/ mono-saccharides, which could be readily used as an energy or carbon source for plant growth (Kasai and Suzuki, 1980). Notably, apart from reducing the anti-nutritional factors, soybean germination has been also reported to significantly improve its nutritional, physicochemical and biological properties (Bau et al., 1997, 2000; Dikshit and Ghadle, 2003; Agrahar-Murugkar and Jha, 2009). Thus, soybean germination at an industrial-scale could provide an exciting prospect of meeting up the soy-food market expectation, with a considerable low RFOs content along with a concomitant high nutritional value. Of note, there was no 100% removal of total RFOs during soybean sprouting at pilot-scale, and the residual RFOs levels in sprouts still raise a serious concern about its consumption, which cannot be ignored.



Fig. 1. Germination of soybean at pilot-scale. Soybean seed germination rate following four hours inbibition in water. Results are shown as a means \pm SDs from three independent experiments (n = 3), with 50 seeds per measurements. Inset depicts the representative images of temporal sprouts formation during the course of soybean germination test.



Fig. 2. Effect of germination on total and individual RFOs components of soybean.

A. Calorimetric- and B. HPLC-based estimation of total and individual RFOs components (RAF, STA, VER) in soybean mature seed- and sprout-flours following three days after germination. Inset shows the soybean flours prepared from the mature seeds and sprouts following three days after germination. Representative chromatogram shows the separation of ethanol soluble sugar extracts from mature seed- (top) and sprout-flours (bottom). Each sugar was evaluated by peak identification with overlapping retention times (in min) of corresponding standard sugar. Data are expressed in terms of mmoles per 100 g on a dry weight basis and plotted as bar graph. Numbers over the each bar indicate the percent reduction in respective sugar of sugar in sprout-flour relative to its corresponding seed counterpart. Each data represent means \pm SDs from three independent experiments (n = 3). Asterisks indicate the significant difference in RFOs levels of soybean sprout-flour at p < 0.05, when compared with their seed counterpart. RAF, Raffinose; STA, Stachyose and VER, Verbascose.

Effect of exogenous α -GAL treatment on RFOs levels of soybean seed- and sprout-flours

Recently, partially purified extracellular α-GAL prepared from A. niger has been reported to be effective in reducing the RFOs levels in seeds of all cultivars of red gram (Cajanus cajan L; Devindra and Aruna, 2016). In the present work, exogenous application of purified α -GAL from A. niger under optimum assay conditions (50°C for 3 h at pH 6-7) resulted in a significant reduction of up to 98-99% (from 8.41-8.68 to 0.14-0.17 mmol/100 g dm) and up to 93-96% (from 1.7-2.1 to 0.13-0.07 mmol/100 g dm) in total RFOs, with an individual and respective decline of 95% (from 1.98 to 0.11 mmol/100 g dm), 99% (from 6.3 to 0.06 mmol/100 g dm), 100% (from 0.14 to 0 mmol/100 g dm) and 84% (from 0.32 to 0.05 mmol/100 g dm), 99%, (from 1.34 to 0.01 mmol/100 g dm), 100% (from 0.05 to 0 mmol/100 g dm) in RAF, STA and VER contents of mature seed- and sproutflours, respectively (Fig. 3A and B). The sucrose levels observed in each of α-GAL treated sample was relatively lower, while that of glucose was relatively higher at each corresponding time points as compared to their untreated enzyme control counterparts (without α -GAL addition; data not shown). The observed RFOs reduction with concomitant increase in glucose content by exogenous a-GAL addition was mainly due to the hydrolysis of α -galactosidic linkages of a-D-galacto-oligosaccharides into monoor di-saccharides. The notable and unexpected decline in sucrose concentration during α-GAL treatment, despite the fact that it was also a by-product of RFOs hydrolysis can be explained by the fact that α -GAL has also been reported to possess intrinsic invertase activity and could cause the hydrolysis of sucrose at a site other than its active galactosidase site,





A. Calorimetric estimation of total RFOs contents in soybean mature seed- (left) and sprout-flours (right) over a range of α -GAL concentration (0-300 GAL units/mL) and incubation time (0-3 h) tested.

of each flour at an indicated time point, relative to their corresponding t = 0 counterpart. Each data represent means \pm SDs from three independent experiments (n = 3). Asterisks time (3 h) in mature seed- (top) and sprout-flours (bottom). Each sugar was evaluated by peak identification with overlapping retention times (in min) of corresponding standard sugar. Data are expressed in terms of mmoles per 100 g on a dry weight basis and plotted as bar graph. Numbers over the each bar indicate the percent reduction in respective sugar B. HPLC-based evaluation and validation of total RFOs and its individual components (RAF, STA, VER) at an optimised α-GAL concentration (100 GAL units/mL) and incubation indicate the significant difference in RFOs levels soybean mature seed- and sprout-flours at p < 0.05, when compared with their corresponding t = 0 counterpart. RAF, Raffinose; STA, Stachyose and VER, Verbascose.

without any inhibitory effect on hydrolysis rate of its substrates, RAF and STA (Slominski, 1994; Brain, 2013). Notably during the complete assay period, a decline of up to 73% (from 8.68 to 2.37 mmol/100 g dm), 69% (from 2.1 to 0.65 mmol/100 g dm) in total RFOs and up to 67% (from 5.75 to 1.89 mmol/100 g dm), 54% (from 0.26 to 0.12 mmol/100 g dm) in sucrose content, with a concomitant increment of up to 481% (from 0.27 to 1.57 mmol/100 g dm) and 465% (from 0.23 to 1.3 mmol/100 g dm) in glucose content was also observed in untreated enzyme controls (without α-GAL addition) of both mature seed- and sprout-flours, respectively (Fig. 3). A similar reduction in total RFOs levels with an increased duration of soaking was also reported in a previous study (Mulimani and Devendra, 1998). The possibility of leaching out of RFOs and sucrose and their breakdown by endogenous α-GAL/invertase activation during soaking (that usually happens during seed germination) cannot be ruled out.

It is important to note that there may be certain other components of soybean (e.g. soluble fibre) that also contribute to flatulence, thus the flatulence response to α -GAL treated soybean flours should be investigated by *in vitro* as well as *in vivo* studies. In this context, it is worth mentioning that our future research is focused on sensory- and safetyevaluation to measure the acceptability, palatability, functionality, storage properties and other nutritional aspects of α -GAL treated soybean seed- and sproutflours and -products thereof.

Advantages and commercial aspect of α -GAL treatment

An advantage of the use of α -GAL to hydrolyse RFOs in flour is that there is no loss of soluble solids (vitamins and minerals), wherein RFOs are converted to simple digestible sugars, unlike traditional method of soaking and boiling of seeds. In literature, there are various reports of beneficial nutritional implications by reducing the RFOs content in legume flour blends upon exogenous supplementation of crude α -GAL from either plant, bacterial or fungal sources. Addition of α-GAL to lentil, peas, cowpea (from A. niger) and chickpea (from Gibberrella fujikuroi) caused a decrease in RAF by 61-68%, 41-48%, 93.3%, 88-92% and STA by 80-85%, 67-91%, 82%, 82-86%, respectively (Somiari and Balogh, 1993; Mulimani et al., 1997; Frias et al., 2003). The use of crude α-GAL (from *Cladosporium cladosporides*, A. oryzae and A. terreus) in complete removal of RAF and STA in chickpea flours has also been reported (Mansour and Khalil, 1998). Crude α-GAL treatment (from Streptomyces griseoloalbus) reduced RAF

by 97.5%, 96.3% and STA by 93.2%, 91.8% in horse and green gram flours, respectively (Anisha and Prema, 2008). In soybean flour, crude α-GAL from germinating guar, Cyamopsis tetragonolobus, Cicer arietinum and germinating G. max caused a respective reduction in RAF content by 90%, 80% and 89.2%, respectively, while a corresponding reduction of 92%, 85% and 72.3% in STA content was observed (Mulimani et al., 1997; de Fatima Viana et al., 2005; Singh and Kayastha, 2013). Fungal α-GAL (from A. saitoi, Mortierella vinacea, Cyamopsis tetragonolobus, G. fujikuroi, A. oryzae, A. terreus and Cladosporium cladosporioides) has also been reported for RFOs hydrolysis in soymilk and soya (Sugimoto and Buren, 1970; Thananunkul et al., 1976; Cruz et al., 1981; Cruz and Park, 1982; Shivanna et al., 1989; Mulimani, 1995; Shankar et al., 2006; Kotiguda et al., 2007; Ferreira et al., 2011). Notably, all of these findings use the laboratory-scale preparation of crude α -GAL in seed flours only, which were either time consuming, expensive, not full-proof enough in complete removal of total RFOs or not economically viable for their consideration as a commercial commodity. Moreover, authenticity of this preparation for their consumption in terms of Generally Recogniszed as Safe (GRAS) also raises a question mark over their practical utility in daily life.

 α -GAL used in this study was produced by controlled fermentation of A. niger which complied with FCC and FAO/WHO JECFA recommended specifications for food-grade enzymes. This product is commercially available with a strict recommendation as a dietary supplement only. It is standardised to 30,000 GAL units/g and can be customised to strength from 1,000-30,000 GAL units/g. It is supplied in industrial quantity of 20-25 kg pails, with a shelf-life of 18 months at 30°C under dark storage that can be further extended by storing at <4°C. Being available as a dried powder and readily soluble in water, it also offers the possibility of blending with other legume flours, thereby allowing the RFOs hydrolysis to take place upon addition of water during subsequent processing steps. In the present work, >95% of total RFOs in both soybean mature seed- and sprout-flours was reduced by the aforementioned α -GAL, which can be procured at a current cost of approximately \$185/kg at concentration of 10,000 GAL unit/g. At an optimum dose of α -GAL (100 GAL unit/mL) in a leaching water of three times the volume required to saturate the soybean flour (1 kg flour : 3 L water), the amount of enzyme required is 30,00,00 GAL unit/kg flour, equating the α -GAL cost of \$5.55/kg of soybean flour. Considering the health benefits and value added feed- and food-products that can be made

from this RFOs-free soy flour, the incurred α -GAL cost is affordable and adds only a marginal cost to the soybean processing at a commercial level. Thus, α -GAL used in the present work has the potential commercial application in feed- and food-industries for the production of RFOs-free flours from soybean as well as other legumes.

Conclusions

The present work demonstrated that soybean sprouting (~80%) at a pilot-scale resulted in a considerable decline of up to 76-80% in total RFOs levels. With a prospect of commercial viability, exogenous addition of purified food-grade α -GAL at 100 GAL unit/mL at 50°C for 3 h (pH 6-7) removes >95% of total RFOs in both soybean mature seed-and sprout-flours. Henceforth, it is concluded that sprouting followed by exogenous supplementation with food-safe and commercially viable α -GAL represents an efficient, effective and economical means of reducing the anti-nutritive values with concomitant increase in the nutritive value of soy-flour and -products thereof.

Conflict of interest

All authors have read and approved the final manuscript. The authors declare that there are no conflicts of interest. The content of this manuscript does not necessarily reflect the views or policies of the LSTC-ITC.

Acknowledgement

We would like to acknowledge Dr. C. C. Lakshmanan [Head, Corporate RandD, ITC Limited, ITC Life Science and Technology Centre (LSTC)] for his consistent support. Editorial and useful tips from in-house manuscript committee of ITC-LSTC are well appreciated. Overall support from team Agrisciences, ITC-LSTC is greatly acknowledged.

Abbreviations:

ANFs, Anti-Nutritional Factors FODMAPs, Fermentable Oligo-, Di-, Monosaccharides and Polyols HPLC, High-Performance Liquid Chromatography RFOs, Raffinose Family Oligosaccharides

References

- Adeyemo, S. and Onilude, A. 2014. Reduction of oligosaccharide content of soybeans by the action of *Lactobacillus plantarum* isolated from fermented cereals. African Journal of Biotechnology 13(37): 3790-3796.
- Agrahar-Murugkar, D. and Jha, K. 2009. Effect of sprouting on nutritional and functional characteristics of soybean (*Glycine max* L). Journal of Food Science and Technology 46(3): 240-243.
- Aguilera, Y., Martín-Cabrejas, M. A., Benítez, V., Mollá, E., López-Andréu, F. J. and Esteban, R. M. 2009. Changes in carbohydrate fraction during dehydration process of common legumes. Journal of Food Composition and Analysis 22(7-8): 678-683.
- Ahmad, A., Hayat, I., Arif, S., Masud, T., Khalid, N. and Ahmed, A. 2014. Mechanisms involved in the therapeutic effects of soybean (*Glycine max*). International Journal of Food Properties 17(6): 1332-1354.
- Anisha, G. and Prema, P. 2008. Reduction of non-digestible oligosaccharides in horse gram and green gram flours using crude α-galactosidase from *Streptomyces* griseoloalbus. Food Chemistry 106(3): 1175-1179.
- Asif, M. and Acharya, M. 2013. Phytochemicals and nutritional health benefits of soy plant. International Journal of Nutrition, Pharmacology, Neurological Diseases 3(1): 64-69.
- Bau, H. M., Villaume, C. and Méjean, L. 2000. Effects of soybean (*Glycine max*) germination on biologically active components, nutritional values of seeds, and biological characteristics in rats. Food Nahrung 44(1): 2-6.
- Bau, H. -M., Villaume, C., Nicolas, J. -P. and Méjean, L. 1997. Effect of germination on chemical composition, biochemical constituents and antinutritional factors of soya bean (*Glycine max*) seeds. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 73(1): 1-9.
- Brain, J. C. 2013. Strategies for the removal of raffinose family oligosaccharides from navy bean flour. Palmerston North, New Zealand. Massey University: PhD thesis.
- Calloway, D. H. and Murphy, E. L. 1968. The use of expired air to measure intestinal gas formation. Annals of the New York Academy of Sciences 150(1): 82-95.
- Cao, Y., Yang, P., Shi, P., Wang, Y., Luo, H. and Meng, K. 2007. Purification and characterization of a novel protease-resistant α-galactosidase from *Rhizopus* sp. F78 ACCC 30795. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 41(6): 835-841.
- Cao, Y., Yuan, T., Shi, P., Luo, H., Li, N. and Meng, K. 2010. Properties of a novel α -galactosidase from *Streptomyces* sp. S27 and its potential for soybean processing. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 47(7): 305-312.
- Cerning-Beroard, J. and Filiatre, A. 1976. A comparison of the carbohydrate composition of legume seeds: horsebeans, peas, and lupines. Cereal Chemistry 53(6): 968-978.

- Coon, C. N., Leske, K., Akavanichan, O. and Cheng, T. 1990. Effect of oligosaccharide-free soybean meal on true metabolizable energy and fiber digestion in adult roosters. Poultry Science 69(5): 787-793.
- Cristofaro, E., Mottu, F. and Wuhrmann, J. 1974. Involvement of the raffinose family of oligosaccharides in flatulence. Sugars in Nutrition. HL Sipple and KW McNutt, eds. Agris: 313-336.
- Cruz, R. and Park, Y. K. 1982. Production of fungal α -galactosidase and its application to the hydrolysis of galactooligosaccharides in soybean milk. Journal of Food Science 47(6): 1973-1975.
- Cruz, R., Batistela, J. and Wosiacki, G. 1981. Microbial α-galactosidase for soymilk processing. Journal of food Science 46(4): 1196-1200.
- de Fátima Vianaa, S., Guimarães, V. M., José, I. C., de Almeida e Oliveira, M. G., Brunoro Costa, N. M., de Barros, E. G., Moreira, M. A. and de Rezende S. T. 2005. Hydrolysis of oligosaccharides in soybean flour by soybean α-galactosidase. Food Chemistry 93 (4): 665-670.
- Devindra, S. and Aruna, T. 2016. Effect of chemical soaking, toasting and crude α -galactosidase enzyme treatment on the oligosaccharide content of red gram flour. Journal of Food Processing and Preservation 41(3): 1-8.
- Dikshit, M., and Ghadle, M. 2003. Effect of sprouting on nutrients, antinutrients and in vitro digestibility of the MACS-13 soybean variety. Plant Foods for Human Nutrition 58(3): 1-11.
- Egounlety, M. and Aworh, O. 2003. Effect of soaking, dehulling, cooking and fermentation with *Rhizopus oligosporus* on the oligosaccharides, trypsin inhibitor, phytic acid and tannins of soybean (*Glycine max* Merr.): cowpea (*Vigna unguiculata* L. Walp) and groundbean (*Macrotyloma geocarpa* Harms). Journal of Food Engineering 56(2): 249-254.
- Ferreira, J. G., Reis, A. I. P., Guimarães, V. M., Falkoski, D. L., Fialho, Lda. S. and de Rezende, S. T. 2011. Purification and characterization of *Aspergillus terreus* α-galactosidases and their use for hydrolysis of soymilk oligosaccharides. Applied biochemistry and biotechnology 164(7): 1111-1125.
- Frias, J., Doblado, R. and Vidal-Valverde, C. 2003. Kinetics of soluble carbohydrates by action of endo/ exo α -galactosidase enzyme in lentils and peas. European Food Research and Technology 216(3): 199-203.
- Gandhi, A. 2008. Development of HACCP procedure for the production of full fat soy flour. International Food Research Journal 15(2): 141-154.
- Gangola, M. P., Jaiswal, S., Khedikar, Y. P. and Chibbar, R. N. 2014. A reliable and rapid method for soluble sugars and RFO analysis in chickpea using HPAEC-PAD and its comparison with HPLC-RI. Food Chemistry 154: 127-133.
- Giannoccaro, E., Wang, Y. J. and Chen, P. 2006. Effects of solvent, temperature, time, solvent-sample ratio, sample size, and defatting on the extraction of soluble sugars in soybean. Journal of food Science 71(1): C59-C64.

- Hagely, K. B., Palmquist, D. and Bilyeu, K. D. 2013. Classification of distinct seed carbohydrate profiles in soybean. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 61(5): 1105-1111.
- Han, I. H. and Baik, B.K. 2006. Oligosaccharide content and composition of legumes and their reduction by soaking, cooking, ultrasound, and high hydrostatic pressure. Cereal chemistry 83(4): 428-433.
- International Seed Testing Association (ISTA). 2012. International rules for seed testing, 2012 Eds. Zürich: International Seed Testing Association.
- Kasai, T. 1976. Changes in the oligosaccharides and the α -galactosidase activity of soybean seeds during germination. Journal of Japanese Society of Food and Nutrition 29(9): 517-521.
- Kasai, T. and Suzuki, H. 1980. Changes in the α-and β-mannosidade activities and the water-soluble polysaccharides of soyabean seeds during germination. Technical Bulletin of Faculty of Agriculture, Kagawa University 31(2): 119-126.
- Keller, F. and Pharr, D. M. 1996. Metabolism of carbohydrates in sinks and sources: galactosyl-sucrose oligosaccharides. In Photoassimilate distribution in plants and crops: source-sink relationships, Zamski, A. and Schaffer, A. A. Eds, p. 157-183. New York: Marcel Dekker Inc.
- Kotiguda, G., Kapnoor, S. S., Kulkarni, D. and Mulimani, V. H. 2007. Degradation of raffinose oligosaccharides in soymilk by immobilized α-galactosidase of *Aspergillus oryzae*. Journal of Microbiology and Biotechnology 17(9): 1430.
- Kumar, V., Rani, A., Goyal, L., Dixit, A. K., Manjaya, J. and Dev, J. 2010. Sucrose and raffinose family oligosaccharides (RFOs) in soybean seeds as influenced by genotype and growing location. Journal of Agricultural and Food Chemistry 58(8): 5081-5085.
- Kuo, T. M., Doehlert, D. C. and Crawford, C. G. 1990. Sugar metabolism in germinating soybean seeds evidence for the sorbitol pathway in soybean axes. Plant Physiology 93(4): 1514-1520.
- Mansour, E. H. and Khalil, A. H. 1998. Reduction of raffinose oligosaccharides in chick pea (*Cicer arietinum*) flour by crude extracellular fungal α-galactosidase. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 78: 175-181.
- Marraccini, P., Rogers, W. J., Caillet, V., Deshayes, A., Granato, D. and Lechat, S. 2005. Biochemical and molecular characterization of α-D-galactosidase from coffee beans. Plant Physiology and Biochemistry 43(10): 909-920.
- Martínez-Villaluenga, C., Frías, J. and Vidal-Valverde, C. 2005. Raffinose family oligosaccharides and sucrose contents in 13 Spanish lupin cultivars. Food Chemistry 91(4): 645-649.
- Matella, N.J., Dolan, K.D., Stoeckle, A.W., Bennink, M.R., Lee, Y.S. and Uebersax, M.A. 2005. Use of hydration, germination, and α -galactosidase treatments to reduce oligosaccharides in dry beans. Journal of Food Science, 70(3): C203-C207.

- Matsuura, F., Ohta, M., Ioannou, Y. A. and Desnick, R. J. 1998. Human α-galactosidase A: characterization of the N-linked oligosaccharides on the intracellular and secreted glycoforms overexpressed by Chinese hamster ovary cells. Glycobiology 8(4): 329-339.
- Messina, M. J. 1999. Legumes and soybeans: overview of their nutritional profiles and health effects. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 70(3): 439s-450s.
- Muehlbauer, F. J. 2002. Carbohydrates in grain legume seeds: improving nutritional quality and agronomic characteristics. Crop Science 42(3): 979-981.
- Mulimani, V. 1995. Enzymic hydrolysis of raffinose and stachyose in soymilk by α-galactosidase from *Gibberella fujikuroi*. Biochemistry and Molecular Biology International 36(4): 897-905.
- Mulimani, V. and Devendra, S. 1998. Effect of soaking, cooking and crude α -galactosidase treatment on the oligosaccharide content of red gram flour. Food Chemistry 61(4): 475-479.
- Mulimani, V., Thippeswamy, S. and Ramalingam, S. 1997. Enzymatic degradation of oligosaccharides in soybean flours. Food Chemistry 59(2): 279-282.
- Muzquiz, M., Burbano, C., Pedrosa, M. M., Folkman, W. and Gulewicz, K. 1999. Lupins as a potential source of raffinose family oligosaccharides: Preparative method for their isolation and purification. Industrial Crops and Products 9(3): 183-188.
- Naumoff, D. G. 2004. Phylogenetic analysis of α -galactosidases of the GH27 family. Molecular Biology 38(3): 388-400.
- Parsons, C., Zhang, Y. and Araba, M. 2000. Nutritional evaluation of soybean meals varying in oligosaccharide content. Poultry Science 79(8): 1127-1131.
- Rackis, J. J. 1981. Flatulence caused by soya and its control through processing. Journal of the American Oil Chemists Society 58(3): 503-509.
- Raja, R. B., Balraj, R., Agasimani, S., Dinakaran, E., Thiruvengadam, V. and Kannan Bapu, J. R. 2015. Determination of oligosaccharide fraction in a worldwide germplasm collection of chickpea ('*Cicer arietinum*' L.) using high performance liquid chromatography. Australian Journal of Crop Science 9(7): 605-613.
- Ramadan, E. 2012. Effect of processing and cooking methods on the chemical composition, sugars and phytic acid of soybeans. Food and Public Health 2(1): 11-15.
- Sebastian, S., Kerr, P., Pearlstein, R. and Hitz, W. 2000. Soybean germplasm with novel genes for improved digestibility. In: K. Drackley (Ed.) Soy in animal nutrition. Savoy, Illinois. Federation of Animal Science Societies 56-74.
- Shankar, S., Girigouda, K. and Mulimani, V. 2006. Production of α-galactosidase by *Aspergillus oryzae* using solid state fermentation. Indian Journal of Microbiology 46(2): 165.

- Sharma, A. and Baluja, Z. 2015. Therapeutic effects of *Glycine max* (soybean): A summary. International Journal of Research in Pharmacy and Biosciences 2(1): 22-27.
- Shivanna, B., Ramakrishna, M. and Ramadoss, C. 1989. Enzymatic hydrolysis of raffinose and stachyose in soybean milk by α-galactosidase from germinating guar (*Cyamopsis tetragonolobus*). Process Biochemistry 24(6): 197-199.
- Silva, H. C., Braga, G. L., Bianchi, M. d. L. P. and Rossi, E. A. 1990. Effect of germination on oligosaccharide and reducing sugar contents of Brazilian soybean cultivars. Alimentos e Nutrição 2: 13-19.
- Singh, N. and Kayastha, A. M. 2013. A novel application of *Cicer* α-galactosidase in reduction of raffinose family oligosaccharides in soybean flour. Journal of Plant Biochemistry and Biotechnology 22(3): 353-356.
- Singh, P., Kumar, R., Sabapathy, S. and Bawa, A. 2008. Functional and edible uses of soy protein products. Comprehensive Reviews in Food Science and Food Safety 7(1): 14-28.
- Slominski, B. A. 1994. Hydrolysis of galactooligosaccharides by commercial preparations of α-galactosidase and β-fruetofuranosidase: Potential for use as dietary additives. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture 65(3): 323-330.
- Somiari, R. I. and Balogh, E. 1993. Effect of soaking, cooking and crude α-galactosidase treatment on the oligosaccharide content of cowpea flours. Journal of the Science of Food and Agriculture, 61(3): 339-343.
- Somiari, R. I., and Balogh, E. 1995. Properties of an extracellular glycosidase of *Aspergillus niger* suitable for removal of oligosaccharides from cowpea meal. Enzyme and Microbial Technology 17(4): 311-316.
- Suarez, F. L., Springfield, J., Furne, J. K., Lohrmann, T. T., Kerr, P. S. and Levitt, M. D. 1999. Gas production in humans ingesting a soybean flour derived from beans naturally low in oligosaccharides. The American Journal of Clinical Nutrition 69(1): 135-139.
- Sugimoto, H. and Buren, J. V. 1970. Removal of oligosaccharides from soy milk by an enzyme from *Aspergillus saitoi*. Journal of Food Science 35(5): 655-660.
- Tahir, M., Lindeboom, N., Båga, M., Vandenberg, A. and Chibbar, R. N. 2011. Composition and correlation between major seed constituents in selected lentil (*Lens culinaris*. Medik) genotypes. Canadian Journal of Plant Science 91(5): 825-835.
- Thananunkul, D., Tanaka, M., Chichester, C. and Lee, T. C. 1976. Degradation of raffinose and stachyose in soybean milk by α -galactosidase from *Mortierella vinacea*. Entrapment of α -galactosidase within polyacrylamide gel. Journal of Food Science 41(1): 173-175.
- Tsangalis, D. and Shah, N. P. 2004. Metabolism of oligosaccharides and aldehydes and production of organic acids in soymilk by probiotic bifidobacteria. International Journal of Food Science and Technology 39(5): 541-554.

- United States Department of Agriculture (USDA). 2016. World Agricultural Production; Foreign Agricultural Service Circular Series, p. 6-16. Washington, DC, USA: USDA.
- Xiaoli, X., Liyi, Y., Shuang, H., Wei, L., Yi, S. and Hao, M. 2008. Determination of oligosaccharide contents in 19 cultivars of chickpea (*Cicer arietinum* L) seeds by high performance liquid chromatography. Food Chemistry 111(1): 215-219.